Grant Proposal Seed Money Review Rubric

GPSM Review for:		Reviewed by:		Date:	
APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY AND CONSIDERATIONS	 ✓ Priority for those who have not yet received GPSM support ✓ Priority for those who are early career ✓ Ideally support projects with IDC recovery (not possible for some disciplines) ✓ Only 1 internal funding support (i.e., Bootcamp/GPSM) per external proposal 				
ELIGIBLE ACTIVITIES	 Refining ideas Creating plans and designs Trying out methodologies Collecting preliminary data Conducting pilot or preliminary activities Reworking unfunded grant proposals that received encouraging review Seeking fellowships Promoting collaboration 				
ELIGIBLE SPENDING CATEGORIES	 ✓ Supplies ✓ Equipment ✓ Travel 	ergraduate or graduate stude	ent assistan	ts	
NOT SUPPORTED	✓ Release or overload for proposal development (refer to Bootcamp)				

Using the following scale, rate each criterion below based on the quality of the proposal.

· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	······································				
Poor = 1	Good = 2	Excellent = 3			

Criterion		Notes (Optional)	Rating
1	External funding proposal idea aligns with or matches identified request for proposals		
2	Investigator/s has demonstrated expertise to be competitive for the identified RFP		
3	Narrative clearly describes how GPSM funds will increase competitiveness for external submission		
4	Proposed GPSM expenditures align with identified need to make the proposal more competitive		
5	GPSM Proposal is prepared in such a way as to inspire confidence in final external submission		
6	Investigator is early career and would be first-time GPSM recipient		
Со	mmittee Input (select 1-4):	Comments/Questions:	
	1. Recommend for funding at full request		
	2. Recommend for funding at reduced request	1	
	3. Ask Applicant to clarify questions]	
	4. Decline		